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Abstract

The LUMO of the pnicogen-bonded fluoro-phosphine dimer has PP bonding character. Radical anion and dianion form relatively strong pnicogen bonds with some covalent character where however the dianion turns out to be a second order transition state. The binding energy of (FPH2)2 is 30.4 kcal/mol (CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ; CASPT2(5,8): 30.7 kcal/mol) and the bond strength order measured with the local PP bonding stretching force constant increases from 0.055 for the neutral dimer to 0.187 thus revealing that the stabilization of the radical anion is to a large extend a result of one-electron six-center delocalization. Pnicogen-bonded complexes have a stabilizing electron affinity.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The existence of pnicogen bonding between phosphines carrying an electronegative substituent X such as X = F, CN, etc. is a well-established fact and has been documented in literally dozens of research papers only few can be mentioned here [1–7]. As in the case of H-bonding, pnicogen bonding is partly covalent and partly electrostatic [5]. The covalent part involves a charge transfer from the P lone pair orbital into the electrostatic orbital. The covalent contribution is substantial if X has a larger electronegativity than P so that the σ*(PX) orbital is low-lying and has a large coefficient at P thus increasing the overlap between lone pair and σ*(PX) orbital [4–6]. The electrostatic contribution depends on the polarizability of the interacting phosphines, which is largely determined by that of substituent X. Use of the Cremer-Kraka criterion for covalent bonding helps to quantify the covalent and the electrostatic contributions to pnicogen bonding [5,8–10].

We have recently introduced a quantitative scale for determining the strength of pnicogen bonding [4,5], which is exclusively based on vibrational spectroscopy and involves a six-step procedure: (i) The 3N-L (N: number of atoms in the complex; L: degrees of translation and rotation) vibrational frequencies are determined using either experiment or computations. (ii) The vibrational frequencies are used to determine a PP bond strength order (BSO) based on a given pnicogen bond is characterized by the energy density at the critical point rₐ between the P atoms [5,8–10]. (vi) Comparison of binding energies and BSO values in form of a correlation diagram reveals whether the stability of the complex is exclusively due to pnicogen bonding or includes also electrostatic attraction, dispersion interactions, etc. between other parts of the monomers [5].

Following this strategy, it was found that (PH₂F)₂ (1) has by far the strongest pnicogen bond (BSO value: n = 0.082 compared to n ((PH₃)₂) = 0.017; CASPT2 calculations). In general, weak pnicogen bonding in alkyl-substituted phosphines can be increased by electrostatic interactions caused by easily polarizable substituents, the inclusion of pseudo-π or π-orbitals if phosphines of the type PX₃ (e.g., X = Br or CN) are involved, or the presence of strongly electronegative substituents X that lead to a low-lying σ*(PX) orbital. Binding energies ΔE, which include all interactions between the monomers can increase up to 10.1 kcal/mol if polarizable groups are contained in the pnicogen-bonded complex (e.g., H₃N···P (CN)₃ [5]). In this work, we will report on an even stronger pnicogen-bonded complex that is based on a new type of pnicogen bonding.

When investigating pnicogen-bonding in dimer (PH₂F)₂ (1), we realized that both the HOMO and LUMO have some P,P bonding character as shown in Fig. 1. This caused us to study pnicogen bonding in the case of a radical anion or dianion of dimer 1 (2 and 3) for the purpose of finding out whether these complexes are thermodynamically and/or kinetically stable. To relate the properties of these complexes to those molecules with known PP bonding, also the radical cation 4, the dication 5, the (un)charged...
monomers 7–10, diphosphone (11), and diphosphone (12; see Fig. 2) were investigated. Especially, 4 and 5 were of interest as these should no longer be destabilized by lone-pair, lone-pair (lp-lp) repulsion as in complex 1. The purpose of this study is to demonstrate that strong, largely covalent pnicogen bonds are possible, which lead to a new type of pnicogen-bonded complexes.

2. Computational methods

Preliminary calculations of the complex geometries and binding energies were carried out with DFT employing the hybrid functional ωB97X-D [14,15], which includes dispersion effects, and the frozen-core dispersion-corrected double-hybrid XC functional with spin-scaled-MP2, DSD-BLYP [16]. The geometries obtained in this way were used as starting geometries for CCSD(T) (coupled cluster with all single and double excitations and using a perturbative approach for the triple excitations) [17] and CASPT2 (complete-active space self-consistent field calculations with second order perturbation corrections) [18] calculations where for the open-shell complexes a UHF (Unrestricted Hartree Fock) wave function was used (unrestricted Kohn-Sham (UKS) in the case of the DFT calculations). At all levels of theory, Dunning’s aug-cc-pVTZ basis sets [19,20] were employed to describe the negatively charged complexes with an appropriate set of diffuse functions.

Complex binding energies ΔE were obtained by subtracting the energies of the monomeric subunits 6–10 in Fig. 2 from the dimer energy and correcting ΔE for basis set superposition errors (BSSE) utilizing the counterpoise method [21]. In the case of the CASPT2 calculations, the binding energy ΔE was also calculated by stepwise increasing the interaction distance to 15 Å and getting in this way a BSSE-free value, which was compared with the BSSE-corrected ΔE. The active space for the CASPT2 calculations was limited to the molecular orbitals (MOs) 25–32 (first entry: monomer 1; second entry: monomer 2) that are important for the pnicogen interactions. Occupied set: MO 25: Ip(P) + Ip(P); MO 26: Ip(P) − Ip(P); Virtual set: MO27: σ*(PF) + σ*(PF); MO 28: pseudo-π(PH2) + pseudo-π(PH2); MO 29: pseudo-π(PH2) − pseudo-π(PH2); MO 30: σ*(PF) − σ*(PF); MO 31: [σ(PH2) + Ip(P)] + [σ(PH2) + Ip(P)]; MO 32: [σ(PH2) + Ip(P)] − [σ(PH2) + Ip(P)]. This leads for 1, 2, and 3 to a (4,8), (5,8), and (6,8) active space, respectively, abbreviated in the following as (N,8) space. We made also test calculation for the corresponding (5,12) active space for radical anion 2, which did not lead to a change in the results and therefore, the (N,8) spaces were used throughout the investigation. In the case of the CASPT2 calculations, a core correlated aug-cc-pwCVTZ basis set [22] was used because, contrary to CCSD(T), core electrons were not eliminated from the correlation.

For all complexes investigated, vibrational frequencies were calculated either analytically or numerically (CASPT2) to obtain the local mode properties using the method of Konkol and Cremer [11]. BSO values n(PP) were derived by using the PP bonds in P2H4 (11) and P2H2 (12) as suitable reference bonds with Wiberg indices of 0.923 and 1.673 for CCSD(T) and 0.951 and 1.705 for CASPT2, which reflect the fact that for third period elements π-bonding is reduced. A power relationship of the type n(PP) = a(kn(PP))b with a = 0.503 and b = 1.015 for CASPT2 (0.540, 0.938 for CCSD(T)) was used to convert local PP stretching force constants into BSO(PP) values (for k2 = 0, n = 0 was enforced). Spin contamination was annihilated at the UDFT level [23] whereas in the case of the UHF-CCSD(T) the first spin contamination is annihilated by the full CI character of CCSD(T) [24] and the second and higher contaminations were small and could be ignored. In all cases, the PP critical points rs of the electron density distribution were determined and then the Cremer-Kraka criteria were applied to determine the covalent or electrostatic character of the PP-interaction with the help of the energy density distribution at the critical point rs [8–10]. According to these criteria, a negative energy density in the region between interacting atoms indicates stabilization and leads to accumulation of electron density, which is typical of a covalent bond. Noteworthy is that the energy density integrated over the bond region can be used to define a bond energy [25]. The BSO and the local stretching force constant are related to the intrinsic bond dissociation energy, which is the energy that is
obtained when freezing the electronic structure of a molecule during the dissociation of a particular bond [26]. Therefore, we use in this work, the BSO as bond strength descriptor and the energy density as descriptor of the covalency of the bond.

\[
\Delta \rho(r) = \rho(2, r) - \rho(1, r)
\]

were calculated using the geometry of 2 obtained at the CCSD(T) level of theory. The local PP stretching modes and their properties were calculated with the program COLOGNE2016 [27]. CASPT2 calculations were carried out with the MOLPRO [28] program package, whereas the CCSD(T) calculations were performed with CFOUR [29]. The electron and energy densities at the bond critical point were analyzed with the AIMAll program [30].

Fig. 2. Optimized geometries of monomers and dimers 1–12 obtained at the CCSD(T) and CASPT2 (in parentheses) levels of theory using aug-cc-pVTZ basis sets. Bond lengths PP (blue), PF (green), and PH (black) are given in Å. Bond angles and dihedrals are in degrees. Dianion 3 is a second order transition state. The reference molecules 11 and 12 are also included.
3. Results and discussion

In Table 1, calculated binding energies $\Delta E$ of complexes 1–5 are listed. CASPT2 and CCSD(T) predict for 1 a $\Delta E$ value of 6.5 and 6.4 kcal/mol, respectively, which increases to 30.7 and 30.4 kcal/mol, respectively, for the radical anion 2 in line with the fact that the LUMO of 1 has P,P bonding character (Fig. 1). The P,P interaction distance is reduced from 2.618 Å in 1 to 2.514 Å in 2 (CASPT2, Fig. 2; CCSD(T): from 2.628 to 2.541 Å). The dianion has an even shorter PP distance (2.488 and 2.478 Å, respectively, Table 2). However, dianion 3 turns out to be a second order transition state (TS) that is not of any chemical relevance. It is telling to compare for 1 and 2 the PP bonding interactions. The PP distance is reduced by just 4%, which is difficult to bring in line with an increase in the binding energy from 6.5 to 30.7 kcal/mol in the case of 2. However, if one considers that $R(PP)$ in 1 is just 0.399 Å longer than the single bond in reference molecule 11, the reduction of the $R(PP)$ value is 25.6%.

Moving from 1 to 2, the PF and PH bond lengths increase from 1.626 (1) to 1.785 Å (2) and from 1.410 to 1.416 Å, respectively. This indicates that the additional electron preferentially enters the $\sigma^*(PF) + \sigma^*(PF)$ MO, which as a P,P bonding MO (Fig. 1) leads to the shortening of the interaction distance. Of course, the bond length is not related to the binding energy nor the intrinsic PP interaction strength and therefore an analysis of $R(PP)$ cannot come to a definite conclusion. The local PP stretching force constants obtained at the CCSD(T) level of theory increase from 0.144 to 0.410 (CASPT2: 0.168 to 0.406) mdyn/Å, which corresponds to a BSO increase from 0.088 to 0.234 (CASPT2: 0.082 to 0.232; Table 2), i.e. although the BSO value is still small, there is a 2.6 (2.8) fold increase in the intrinsic strength of the P,P interactions of the radical anion. The energy density at the P,P interaction critical point decreases for 2 from $-0.113$ hartree/Å$^2$ (1) to $-0.158$ hartree/Å$^2$. This indicates stronger covalent than electrostatic character, but significantly differs from a fully developed covalent PP bond as found for 11 ($-0.482$ hartree/Å$^2$) and 12 ($-0.706$ hartree/Å$^2$; all values calculated with CASPT2).

According to our results, adding an electron to the dimer 1 does not lead to a hypervalent phosphorous molecule. Instead, it leads to a new type of pnicogen bonding, which is characterized by extension of the normal bonding mechanism. Pnicogen bonding implies a mutual charge transfer from the lp orbitals to empty $\sigma^*(PF)$-orbitals, which leads to a lowering of the antibonding character of the resulting $b_s$-symmetrical HOMO (Fig. 1). There is a positive overlap between the lp-orbital of one monomer via the H- orlando contributions of the other monomer, which suggests some weak H-lp(P) stabilizing interactions (Fig. 1). This interaction is complemented by occupying the $\sigma^*(PF) + \sigma^*(PF)$ LUMO ($\alpha_{1g}$ symmetry) of the neutral complex by an additional electron; there is now a PP interaction via the in-phase coefficients of the $\alpha_{1g}$ LUMO where this interaction leads to a significant increase of the electron density in the intermonomer space as is reflected by the difference density distribution $\Delta \rho(r) = \rho(2) - \rho(1)$ (see Fig. 1). If pnicogen bonding in 1 can be described as weak lp(P)-$\sigma^*(PF)$ interactions, these are augmented in the radical anion by $\sigma^*(PF) + \sigma^*(PF)$ one-electron-six-center (1e-6c) delocalization interactions between two PH$_2$ groups. It is justified to speak of super-pnicogen bonding considering the 2.8-fold increase in the BSO value and the positive difference density distribution in the intermonomer region (Fig. 1).

The first and second electron affinity (EA) of the monomer 6 both reveal thermodynamic destabilization of the radical anion 7 and the dianion 8 (CCSD(T): 13.9 and 75.4 kcal/mol; CASPT2: 16.3 and 79.4 kcal/mol, Table 1) although they correspond to genuine minima at both levels of theory according to the vibrational frequency calculations (geometries are given in Fig. 2). The EA of the radical anion 2 is stabilizing (CCSD(T): $-10.1$; CASPT2: $-7.9$ kcal/mol) in line with the BDE values of about 30 kcal/mol.

We also considered the formation of a Rydberg anion in the case of 2. The NH$_4$ molecule is a typical example of such an anion [31,32]. It can be characterized as a NH$_4^-$ cation that has captured an electron pair in its outer sphere. Hence, a Rydberg anion requires a strongly electronegative central atom and a charge hole. Both requirements are not fulfilled. However, each of the two $P$ atoms is positively charged because of the H and F substituents and the negative charge of the extra electron is preferentially localized in the nonbonding sphere of the monomer including weakly the four H atoms of the complex. This resembles a Rydberg anion, which is in line with the fact that the P,P interactions have not so much increased compared to the increase in the $\Delta E$ (less than 3-fold increase in PP strength compared to a more than 4.5-fold increase in $\Delta E$). These considerations make it desirable to investigate also the nature for the cation and dication of the dimer 1.

### Table 1

Binding energies, diabatic electron affinities, and diabatic ionization potentials of monomers and dimers 1–9 calculated at the CCSD(T) or CAS(N,8) PT2/au-gg-pVTZ level; (N = 4–6) levels of theory.\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Molecule dimer</th>
<th>CCSD(T)</th>
<th>CASPT2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(PHF)$_2$</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>6.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(PHF)$_2$</td>
<td>30.39</td>
<td>30.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(PHF)$_4$</td>
<td>-28.69</td>
<td>-28.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Heterolytic</td>
<td>35.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(PHF)$_2$</td>
<td>64.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(PHF)$_3$</td>
<td>24.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Electron affinity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Molecule dimer</th>
<th>CCSD(T)</th>
<th>CASPT2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(PHF)$_2$</td>
<td>-10.09</td>
<td>-7.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(PHF)$_2$</td>
<td>72.98</td>
<td>75.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PHF</td>
<td>13.89</td>
<td>16.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PHF</td>
<td>75.44</td>
<td>79.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ionization potential**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Molecule dimer</th>
<th>CCSD(T)</th>
<th>CASPT2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(PHF)$_2$</td>
<td>199.69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(PHF)$_3$</td>
<td>298.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PHF</td>
<td>258.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PHF</td>
<td>385.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) All energy differences in kcal/mol. Binding energies, electron affinities, and ionization potentials are described as reaction energies to be consistent.\(^b\) Dissociation into PH$_4$ and 2 F$^-$. 

---

**The radical cation and dication dimers (PHF$_2$)$_2$ (4) and (PHF)$_3$ (5).** The radical cation has been investigated before [33–35]. Coupled cluster calculations describe the monocation 4 and dication dimers 5 both as stable local minima. The first ionization requires 8.66 eV, and the second ionization another 12.95 eV, i.e., monocation 4 and dication 5 are 258.2 and 498.3 kcal/mol less stable than their neutral counterpart (Table 1). Dissociation of 4 requires 64.9 kcal/mol whereas the homolytic dissociation of 5 is endothermic by 24.5 kcal/mol ($\omega$B97X-D) and DSD-BLYP: 31.3 and 30.8 kcal/mol this work; 39.4 kcal/mol MP2/CBS [36]. Heterolytic dissociation of the dication into PHF$_2^+$ and neutral PH$_3$F requires 151.7 kcal/mol.

The dication (PHF$_2$)$_2^+$ has a PP covalent bond close to a normal PP single bond (R(5): 2.288; R(11): 2.238 Å; $k^2$: 1.710 and 1.769 mdyn/Å; BSO: 0.893 and 0.923; Table 2). The relative short PP bond length in 5 is clearly the result of no longer occupying a weakly bonding $b_s$ orbital and a smaller covalent radius of a positively charged phosphorous. One can speak of a double phosphonium ion that has bonding features similar to those of a phosphonium ion.

Addition of an electron to the dication leads to a weakening by 50% of the bond strength (BSO: 0.450; bond length increases to 2.315 Å), which indicates increased repulsion involving now three
Table 2

Characterization of the P-P interaction in neutral and ionic dimers of PH₂F by distance R, local stretching force constants k', local stretching frequency ω', and relative bond strength order (BSO) n.a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Molecule, complex</th>
<th>CCSD(T)</th>
<th>CASPT2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R(PP) (Å)</td>
<td>k'(PP) (mdyn/Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(PH₂F)₂</td>
<td>2.628</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(PH₂F)₂⁺</td>
<td>2.541</td>
<td>0.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(PH₂F)₂⁻</td>
<td>2.478</td>
<td>0.463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(PH₂F)₂⁺/C₅⁺</td>
<td>2.315</td>
<td>0.824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(PH₂F)₂⁻/C₀⁻</td>
<td>2.288</td>
<td>1.710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>P₂H₂</td>
<td>2.238</td>
<td>1.769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>P₂H₄</td>
<td>2.051</td>
<td>3.334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>P₂H₆</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reference molecules are 11 and 12 with PP single and double bond, respectively. For the BSO of the reference molecules, see text. All calculations with aug-cc-pVTZ basis sets.

4. Conclusions

In this work, we have shown that pnicogen bonding in the dimer of PH₂F can be enhanced by electron attachment leading to the radical anion dimer 2 with a singly occupied HOMO of PP bonding character. The radical anion 2 has a significant stronger PP interaction as is revealed by a BSO increase from 0.088 to 0.234 and a binding energy of 30.4 kcal/mol. Local stretching force constant, the positive difference electron density in the intermonomer region, and the increased stabilizing energy density between the P atoms justify to characterize the PP interactions by the term super-pnicogen bonding.

Occupation of the HOMO of 2 by a second electron leads to a chemically irrelevant second order TS. The radical cation 4 and dication 5 of the dimer of PH₂F possess weak or normal covalent PP bonding typical of a 3e-bonded phosphonium-phosphine adduct or a 2e-bonded diphosphonium ion, respectively. We can exclude that the radical anion 2 contains hypervalent P or is a Rydberg anion corresponding to a complex between the radical cation 4 and an electron pair.

The findings of this work suggest the existence of a whole group of anionic super-pnicogen bonded complexes involving amines, phosphines, arsines, stibines, and bismuthines.
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